

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,838	04/04/2001		4/2001	Romano Mark	8932-392	2746	
20582	7590		12/21/2004		EXAMINER		
JONES DAY					SMITH, JAMES G		
51 Louisiana Aveue, N.W WASHINGTON, DC 20001-2113					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, -				3723		

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Antique Occurrence	09/824,838	MARK ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	James G. Smith	3723						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Oc	ctober 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,12 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13,14 and 16-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,12 and 23</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)	•							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
dec the attached detailed Office action for a list of	in the certified copies hot received	J.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (	PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa	e tent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	( 10-102)						

Application/Control Number: 09/824,838

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. The amendment to the claims filed on 22 October 2004 does not fully comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121(c) because claims 6, 11, 15 and 20 have been cancelled, not withdrawn. The amendment is entered, however any further response must be accurate or it will not be entered.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims 13, 14 and 16-19 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse in the response filed 05 March 2003. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12 and 23 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Amended claim 1 and new claim 23 include a limitation to the spring having some previously undefined "middle portion disposed between the top and bottom ends", however the original specification and drawings mention only a top part 23

and a clamped part 22 with an optional bend joining the two parts. There is no delineation of any "middle portion" in relation to the two parts originally disclosed, thus the new limitation is clearly new matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12 and 23 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsushima (768) in view of Ryder et. al..

Matsushima (768) shows the claimed invention except for the use of a lobed configuration for the spindle that forms a hexagon or the specific dimension of claim 12. Ryder et. al. suggests that a driver can have such a lobed configuration with an appropriate holding means. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Matsushima (768) by using a lobed configuration because Ryder et. al. suggests the use of such a shape as one that is well known for the specific purpose of driving similarly shaped fasteners.

Further to size the spring to fit a particular need or use is obvious experimentation to determine the optimum size for any part or tool. Also to mount the spring in the lobes, instead of the concave area, is a matter of choice as the end result is the same.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,838

Art Unit: 3723

7. Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12 and 23 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fedotoff in view of Ryder et. al., for the reasons stated in paragraph 5, above.

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12 and 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The additional limitation of a "middle portion" on the spring is new matter, however, even if consider to be some intermediate portion that would encompass the bend, clearly the portion 6 of Matsushima extends away from the central axis and thus meets the broad limitation, even though it then bend back towards the axis at end 8. The new ground of rejection with respect to Fedotoff also shows the top potion bent outward or away from the central axis, thus the new limitation of the bend extending "away from" the axis is still not patentable.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3723

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4496. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05-4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 12/20/04